

# In the Supreme Court of the State of Alaska

A.S. (Mother),  
Appellant,

v.

State of Alaska DHSS OCS,  
Appellees.

Supreme Court No. S-18152

## Order Returning Reply Brief

Date of Order: 4/6/2022

Trial Court Case No. 3PA-19-00174CN, 3PA-19-00176CN, 3PA-19-00175CN

Reply brief and excerpt of record, filed on 4/6/2022, are returned for correction, based on the following reasons specified by the law clerk who reviewed the brief and excerpt for technical compliance with Appellate Rules 210 and 212.

*The reply brief focuses on the appellant's purported state of mind but does not substantiate these assertions. For example, pages 2 and 3 include statements like "[f]rom Anna's perspective" and "[s]he easily could have thought..." These claims are not supported by citations to the record. These are just examples, and there are more unsubstantiated claims throughout the brief. The reply brief also emphasizes that much of the contact was telephonic (pages 2 and 3) but does not support this assertion beyond the indication that the parties appeared telephonically at one hearing (fn 7).*

The original and one copy of the corrected brief, and the excerpt, with proof of service, are due on or before 4/13/2022.

Entered under Appellate Rule 102(f).

Clerk of the Appellate Courts

  
Cheryl Jones, Deputy Clerk

Distribution:

Email:  
Rowe, Megan M., OPA - Contract  
Lundquist, Mary Ann  
Beckwith, Bobbie  
Banaszak, Kathleen Emily, Office of Public Advocacy  
Cella, Rachel E., Public Defender

A.S. (Mother) v. State of Alaska, DHSS, OCS  
Supreme Court No. S-18152  
Order of 4/6/22  
Page Two